

2. (Once Amended) A method for receiving a message in a selective call receiver, comprising the steps of:

receiving a message;
displaying the received message;
activating the step of displaying for further displaying a key pad arrangement; and
interacting with the displayed key pad arrangement wherein the step of interacting includes a step of user activating the displayed keypad arrangement to modify the displayed message.

5. (Once Amended) A selective call receiver comprising:

a receiver for receiving a message;
a liquid crystal display (LCD), coupled to the receiver, [;first means] for displaying the [a] received message on said LCD [display]; and
[second] means coupled to said LCD [first means] for selectively activating the LCD for displaying a key pad arrangement on said [display] LCD wherein a user is able to interact with the displayed keypad arrangement for modifying and for adding information to the displayed message.

6. (Once Amended) The selective call receiver according to claim 5 wherein said keypad arrangement [second means] provides for editing the displayed [received] messages.

7. (Once Amended) The selective call receiver according to claim 5 wherein said keypad arrangement [second means] provides for creating personalized messages.

8. (Once Amended) The selective call receiver according to claim 5 wherein said keypad arrangement [second means] provides for determining the language or font in which the displayed message is to be displayed.

9. (Once Amended) A selective call receiver comprising:
a receiver [first means] for receiving a message;
a liquid crystal display (LCD), coupled to the receiver, [second means] for displaying the received message;
a switch, coupled to the LCD, activates the LCD [third means] for displaying a key pad arrangement, the displayed keypad arrangement comprises a set of keys to enable a user [; and fourth means for interacting with the key pad arrangement] to modify the displayed message.

11. (Once Amended) The selective call receiver according to claim 10 wherein the set of keys [said fourth means] comprises one or more control buttons on said displayed keypad arrangement [selective call receiver].

Please cancel claim 12 without prejudice.

13. (Twice Amended) A selective call receiver comprising:
a liquid crystal display (LCD) that displays a received message; and
[first] means for activating the LCD for displaying [a received message and] a key pad arrangement on said LCD [display], said key pad arrangement being displayed for modifying the displayed [received] message.

REMARKS

Reexamination and reconsideration of this application as amended is requested. By this amendment, claims 1, 2, 5-9, 11, 13 have been amended, and claim 12 has been canceled. Claims 1-11, and 13-16 remain in the application.

1. In the office action, it was questioned why the Amendment stated that the Examiner "alleges" when a copy of the patent, in this case, patent 5,258,739 by DeLuca was cited as proof. It is respectfully submit that "alleges", as used, is proper because while a patent is presumptively valid, its interpretation by the Examiner is neither presumptively correct nor valid, under the law. Furthermore, the interpretation of cited patents or other prior arts are generally determinative of allowable subject matter. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the use of the word "alleges" is proper when there exists any controversy in interpretation of the scope of coverage of patents cited in the office action which can go to the merits of the invention claimed in the patent application.

Responsive to the comments in the office action, on page 2, lines 19-22, that "applicant admits that DeLuca can interact with a message and modify it ... [and] that Learn can manipulate the matrix (keypad using four switches (buttons)," it is respectfully submitted that a carefully reading of the comments made about DeLuca and Learn will reveal, contrary to the comments made in the office action, that no admissions were made, but only that applicant was paraphrasing the teachings of DeLuca and Learn.

2-3. The Examiner rejected claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103, as being unpatentable over DeLuca, et al. in view of Learn and further in view of Kamo and common knowledge in the art.